Available online on 15.08.2019 at http://jddtonline.info



Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

© 2011-18, publisher and licensee JDDT, This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited



# Open Access

**Research Article** 

# Development and evaluation of Zotepine loaded mucoadhesive microemulsion for intranasal delivery

# Anilgoud Kandhula\*a, Anjali Devi Nippani<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a\*</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, University college of Pharmaceutical sciences, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana-506009.India.

<sup>b</sup> Orbicular Pharmaceutical Technologies Pvt. Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

# ABSTRACT

Mania and bipolar illness are the major problems in the schizophrenia treatment, zotepine, atypical antipsychotic drug used for this condition. The aim of present investigation was to develop mucoadhesive microemulsion of zotepine for intranasal delivery by phase titration method. The developed formulations were evaluated for its size, zeta, PDI and invitro release studied. The optimized formulation, containing 5% Oleic acid, 40% Tween 80: PEG400 (3:1) and 55% water. The globule size (53.1±0.31), zeta potential (-32.1±0.2),PDI (0.13±0.23).0.5% chitosan was added to the optimized formulation to prepare mucoadhesive formulation.

Keywords: Zotepine; Microemulsion; pseudoternary phase diagram; solubility;

Article Info: Received 09 June 2019; Review Completed 19 July 2019; Accepted 20 July 2019; Available online 15 August 2019



# Cite this article as:

Kandhula A, Nippani AD, Development and evaluation of Zotepine loaded mucoadhesive microemulsion for intranasal delivery, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2019; 9(4-s):54-58 http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v9i4-s.3244

#### \*Address for Correspondence:

Anilgoud Kandhula, Department of Pharmaceutics, University college of Pharmaceutical sciences, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana-506009.

# **INTRODUCTION:**

Schizophrenia is a severe mental disease and chronic condition having world-wide occurrence of 23 million people approximately. [1] It is associated with three types of symptoms which are positive symptoms such as hallucinations, delusions, thought disorder, negative symptoms such as abnormal emotions, inability to enjoy pleasure and cognitive symptoms such as, inability to use learned skills, Inability to focus or pay attention. [2] Antipsychotic drugs are used for the treatment of cognitive symptoms and both positive and negative of schizophrenia [3]

Zotepine (ZME) is a second-generation antipsychotic drug which appears to act as a dopamine type 1 (D1), type 2 (D2), serotonin (5-HT)-2A receptor antagonist and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor [4] Zotepine having broad efficacy and improves positive and negative symptoms of Schizophrenia.

Oral administration of drug is more convenient and well accepted. However, upon oral administration drug undergoes hepatic first pass effect. [5] Presently, ZME oral formulation is available in tablet which has oral bioavailability of 7-13%. Disadvantages of oral dosage form includes poor bioavailability, and slow transport along gastrointestinal tract. [6]

Hence, in order to improve bioavailability of formulation alternative routes of administration should be preferred. In last three decades the intranasal drug delivery system is emerging delivery option for targeting to brain. It offers high absorption of drug, it increases the bioavailability of drug, reduction of drug dose in drug dose and avoidance of hepatic first pass effect and improved patient compliance. [8,9,10,11] In recent years targeting the brain through intranasal microemulsion based delivery systems have been studied extensively. [12]

In the present study, we developed zotepine loaded mucoadhesive microemulsions through intranasal drug delivery to brain.

#### **MATERIALS:**

Zotepine was received from Sun Pharmaceuticals limited, Hyderabad, India as gift sample, Capmul MCM was from Abitec corporation Ltd. Mumbai, India Cremophor RH 40 was received as free sample from Gattefose SAS, France. Oleic acid, Tween 80 PEG 400, PEG600 was purchased from Sd fine chemicals. Chitosan, sunflower oil, castor oil from sigma Aldrich, Bangalore, India.

# **METHODS:**

# Screening of oil

Zotepine solubility in various oils was find out by adding an excess amount of drug in 5ml capacity vials containing two ml of the different oils, and then mixed using cyclomixer, then the vials were stirrer on water bath shaker at  $25^{\circ}$ C for 48 h. After equilibrium, vials were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min [13]. The supernatant was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45µm). The concentration of zotepine was determined in oils using UV spectroscopy. The study was carried in triplicate.

#### **Screening of Surfactants**

Cremophor RH 40 and Tween 80 were screened. In water, surfactant solution of 2.5ml was prepared, to this add 5% with vertexing. If a one phase clear solution was obtained, the add the oil until the solution became turbid.

# **Screening of Cosurfactants**

Tween 80 was combined with cosurfactant PEG400, at a Smix ratio of 1:1,2:1,3:1 the pseudoternary phase diagrams were made. Different weight ratios of oil and Smix, 9:1,8:2,7:3,6:4,5:5,4:6,3:7,2:8 ,1:9, were taken so that maximum ratios were Perform to define the boundaries of phases precisely formed in the phase diagrams. [14,15] The pseudo ternary graphs are plotted by using CHEMIX software.

# Preparation of microemulsion containing Zotepine

ZME formulations were prepared by water titration method [16] by different the ratios of oil, Surfactant, co-surfactant, and water; keeping the zotepine drug concentration of constant.

25 mg drug was mixed with oil (Oleic acid), and to that surfactant mixture (Tween80:PEG400) was added and mixed thoroughly for 5 minutes at room temperature. The mixture was titrated with water drop wise until a transparent and stable ZME was formed. ZMMEs were prepared by adding 0.5% w/w chitosan solution in 1% acetic acid to microemulsion formulation, were represented in Table 1.

# Characterization of Formulation

#### Zeta potential, Globule size and Polydisperse index

Zeta potential, Globule size, PDI and measurements were performed by using Zetasizer (Nano-ZS90, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) by taking 1ml of formulation into polystyrene cuvettes for globule size and PDI and disposable folded capillary Cell for zeta potential at 25°C respectively.

# Transmittance (%T)

Transparence of microemulsion was determined by percentage transmittance measurement through UV Spectrophotometer. Percentage transmittance of samples was measured at 650nm with purified water taken as blank and triplicate were performed for each formulation [17]

#### Drug content

ZME and ZMME drug content was determined by taking equivalent to 25mg of zotepine and diluted using methanol. Samples were prepared in triplicate and the absorbance was measured at 278 nm using UV-Visible Spectrophotometer.

#### Viscosity

The viscosity of microemulsion was determined using Brookfield viscometer. Viscosity determinations were performed at 40 rpm at  $25 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C.

# pН

The pH of microemulsion was determined by using a calibrated digital pH meter at room temperature by taking 5 ml of microemulsion individually in a beaker.

#### Scanning electron microscopy

1 ml of the mucoadhesive microemulsion of zotepine formulation was placed on the stub. This specimen was observed with a scanning electron microscope, SEM micrographs of the microemulsion surfaces were observed. [18]

#### **Stability studies**

The optimized ZME was stored at three different temperature ranges for 3 months i.e., refrigerating condition  $(2-8^{\circ}C)$ , room temperature and elevated temperature  $(40 \pm 2)$ , shelf life of the stored microemulsion system was evaluated by phase separation, rheological behavior, emulsifying time, electrical conductivity, pH, percentage transmittance [19]

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Experimental data from more than triplicate are shown as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (SD).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

In the development of microemulsion systems for poorly soluble drugs, drug loading in the formulation is very critical factor, which is dependent on the drug solubility in various components used in the formulation. Hydrophilic drugs are preferably solubilized in w/o microemulsions, whereas o/w systems seem to be a better choice for lipophilic drugs. The amount of the formulation should be minimized to deliver the therapeutic dose of the drug. Solubility of the drug in the oil phase is an important measure for the selection of the oil, it influences to maintain the drug in solubilized form in the microemulsion formulation. If the surfactant or cosurfactant is influence to drug solubilization, there could be a risk of precipitation. Thus, an understanding of factors that influencing drug loading ability while maintaining the capability of the system to undergo monophasic dilution with water and minimizing the propensity for drug precipitation or crystallization in diluted systems is essential to the development of stable and appropriately less-volume microemulsion systems for drug delivery applications.



Fig. 1. Pseudo ternary phase diagram using oleic acid as oil, Tween 80 as surfactant, PEG400 as cosurfactant and water, ratio of S mix (Tween 80: PEG400) a) 1:1, b)2:1 c)3:1

Oleic acid was selected as Oil Phase, Smix was Tween 80: PEG400 (3:1), water from the Pseudo ternary phase diagrams (Fig 1). The solubility of zotepine in different oils was determined (Table I). The solubility of zotepine was found to be highest in Oleic acid (130.12±1.12 mg/ml) as compared to other oils.

S. No	Solvent	Solubility (mg/ml)
1	Capmul MCM	137.54±1.13
2	Tween 80 🔍	264.23±1.24
3	Cremophore R	122.3±1.54
4	Oleic acid 💦 💦	430.29±0.25
5	Castor oil	150.21±1.23
6	Olive oil	130.12±1.12
7	PEG 400	221.34±1.52
8	Sunflower oil	101.12±0.38
9	PEG600	99.43±0.23

Table I. Solubility of zotepine in different Oils at 25°C (mean ±SD, n=3)

The microemulsions were selected so that all the formulations contain increasing concentrations of oil and Smix Table II.

Table II: composition of microemulsion containing Zotepine	Table II: com	position of micro	emulsion contai	ining Zotepine
--	---------------	-------------------	-----------------	----------------

Formulation	Oil (%)	Smix (%)	Water (%)	Chitosan (%)
ZME1	5	35	60	-
ZME 2	7.5	35	57.5	-
ZME 3	10	35	55	-
ZME 4	5	40	55	-
ZME 5	7.5	40	52.5	-
ZME 6	10	40	50	-
ZME 7	5	45	50	-
ZME 8	7.5	45	47.5	-
ZME 9	10	45	45	-
ZME 10	5	50	45	-
ZME 11	7.5	50	42.5	-
ZME 12	10	50	40	-
ZME 13	5	60	35	-
ZME 14	7.5	60	32.5	-
ZME 15	10	60	30	
ZMME	5	40	55	0.5

Note: Zotepine 25 mg in all formulations

# Characterization of formulation

Characterization of the ZME and ZMME are shown in Table III. The globule size was of  $53.1 \pm 0.31$ nm and  $88.8 \pm 0.45$ nm, Zeta potential measurements of  $-32.1 \pm 0.2$  and  $12.5 \pm 0.47$  mV on the globules of ZME and ZMME indicated that the system is physically stable and PDI of  $0.13 \pm 0.23$  and  $0.22 \pm 0.12$  for

ZME and ZMME, respectively, indicate that the ME approached a monophasic stable system. This microemulsion system can more efficiently deliver a drug due to the presence of a larger surface area. pH was of 6.51 and 6.67 for the ZME and ZMME. A formulation whose pH is in this range may help in reduce the irritation give upon administration. Formulation was stable for 3 months.

Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2019; 9(4-s):54-58

Table III: Characterization of Formulations. Data shown as mean ± SD (n=3)								
Globule Size	Zeta size	וחת	pН	Viscosity				
(nm)	(mV)	PDI		(mPa-s)				
91.1±0.18	-22.5±0.3	0.21±0.12	6.56	169				
67.3±1.12	-21.9±0.7	0.20±0.31	6.39	179				
48.1±0.14	-28.1±0.6	$0.17 \pm 0.14$	6.41	195				
53.1±0.31	-32.1±0.2	0.13±0.23	6.51	154				
41.3±0.26	-26.4±0.5	0.22±0.26	6.45	200				
49.8±0.33	-21.2±1.4	0.19±0.31	6.42	181				
45.4±0.56	-28.6±1.8	0.18±0.46	6.43	188				
125.3±0.34	-2.7±1.2	0.21±0.27	6.34	201				
114.4±0.67	-24.8±1.4	0.16±0.34	6.31	256				
126.3±0.39	-27.8±1.3	0.17±0.25	6.42	235				
119.4±0.52	-23.6±0.2	$0.18 \pm 0.44$	6.25	227				
105.3±0.30	-24.5±0.9	0.13±0.72	6.43	210				
72.6±0.44	-25.3±0.7	0.15±0.36	6.32	193				
82.7±0.18	-27.7±0.3	0.18±0.64	6.60	242				
75.8±0.23	-25.6±0.64	0.20±0.61	6.62	182				
	ble III: Characterizatio Globule Size (nm) 91.1±0.18 67.3±1.12 48.1±0.14 53.1±0.31 41.3±0.26 49.8±0.33 45.4±0.56 125.3±0.34 114.4±0.67 126.3±0.39 119.4±0.52 105.3±0.30 72.6±0.44 82.7±0.18 75.8±0.23	ble III: Characterization of Formulations. Data sGlobule SizeZeta size(nm)(mV) $91.1\pm0.18$ $-22.5\pm0.3$ $67.3\pm1.12$ $-21.9\pm0.7$ $48.1\pm0.14$ $-28.1\pm0.6$ $53.1\pm0.31$ $-32.1\pm0.2$ $41.3\pm0.26$ $-26.4\pm0.5$ $49.8\pm0.33$ $-21.2\pm1.4$ $45.4\pm0.56$ $-28.6\pm1.8$ $125.3\pm0.34$ $-2.7\pm1.2$ $114.4\pm0.67$ $-24.8\pm1.4$ $126.3\pm0.39$ $-27.8\pm1.3$ $119.4\pm0.52$ $-23.6\pm0.2$ $105.3\pm0.30$ $-24.5\pm0.9$ $72.6\pm0.44$ $-25.3\pm0.7$ $82.7\pm0.18$ $-27.7\pm0.3$ $75.8\pm0.23$ $-25.6\pm0.64$	Del III: Characterization of Formulations. Data shown as mean   Globule Size Zeta size PDI   (nm) (mV) PDI   91.1±0.18 -22.5±0.3 0.21±0.12   67.3±1.12 -21.9±0.7 0.20±0.31   48.1±0.14 -28.1±0.6 0.17±0.14   53.1±0.31 -32.1±0.2 0.13±0.23   41.3±0.26 -26.4±0.5 0.22±0.26   49.8±0.33 -21.2±1.4 0.19±0.31   45.4±0.56 -28.6±1.8 0.18±0.46   125.3±0.34 -2.7±1.2 0.21±0.27   114.4±0.67 -24.8±1.4 0.16±0.34   126.3±0.39 -27.8±1.3 0.17±0.25   119.4±0.52 -23.6±0.2 0.18±0.44   105.3±0.30 -24.5±0.9 0.13±0.72   72.6±0.44 -25.3±0.7 0.15±0.36   82.7±0.18 -27.7±0.3 0.18±0.64   75.8±0.23 -25.6±0.64 0.20±0.61	Bit III: Characterizations: Data Shown as mean + SD (n=3) PH   Globule Size Zeta size PDI pH   (nm) (mV) 6.56 6.56   67.3±1.12 -21.9±0.7 0.20±0.31 6.39   48.1±0.14 -28.1±0.6 0.17±0.14 6.41   53.1±0.31 -32.1±0.2 0.13±0.23 6.51   41.3±0.26 -26.4±0.5 0.22±0.26 6.45   49.8±0.33 -21.2±1.4 0.19±0.31 6.42   45.4±0.56 -28.6±1.8 0.18±0.46 6.43   125.3±0.34 -2.7±1.2 0.21±0.27 6.34   114.4±0.67 -24.8±1.4 0.16±0.34 6.31   126.3±0.39 -27.8±1.3 0.17±0.25 6.42   119.4±0.52 -23.6±0.2 0.18±0.44 6.25   105.3±0.30 -24.5±0.9 0.13±0.72 6.43   72.6±0.44 -25.3±0.7 0.18±0.64 6.60   72.6±0.44 -25.3±0.7 0.18±0.64 6.60   75.8±0.23 -25.6±0.64 0.20±0.61 6.62				

#### Scanning electron microscopy

ZMME

Optimized formulation SEM results depicted that pure drug Zotepine is having rough surface, after converting in to

88.8±0.45

microemulsion it has smooth surface, SEM micrographs of plain drug and Microemulsions are shown in Figure 2.

230

6.67

0.22±0.12



 $12.5 \pm 0.47$ 

Figure 2.SEM image of a) Pure zotepine b) Optimized formulation

# **CONCLUSION:**

The zotepine microemulsion formulations for intranasal delivery were developed by water titration method. The Mucoadhesive microemulsion and microemulsion formulation showed small globule size and good zeta potential and uniform distribution of globules after 3 months of stability studies, SEM studies shown formation of globules.

According to this study, Microemulsion formulation is potential; it may be increase bioavailability of formulation and avoids hepatic first pass effect. Hence, the present study concluded that intra nasal administration of may be considered as replacement to oral administration

#### **REFERENCES:**

1. Schizophrenia, National Institute of Mental Health, Available from:

http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/schizophrenia/inde x.shtml.

- 2. Anilgoud K, Anjali Devi N. Formulation and Characterization of Quetiapine Fumarate loaded mucoadhesive microemulsion for intranasal delivery, World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research ,4(9),176-180, (2018)
- 3. Factor SA. Pharmacology of atypical antipsychotics. Clinical neuropharmacology. 2002 May 1;25(3):153-7.
- 4. Green B. Zotepine: a clinical review. Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology. 2009 Feb 1;5(2):181-6.
- Löscher W, Potschka H. Blood-brain barrier active efflux transporters: ATP-binding cassette gene family. NeuroRx. 2005 Jan 1;2(1):86-98.
- Popescu C, Manda P, Juluri A, Janga KY, Cidda M, Murthy SN. Enhanced dissolution efficiency of zaleplon solid dispersions via modified β-cyclodextrin molecular inclusion complexes. J. Pharm Pharm Scien. 2015;1(1):12-21.
- Nasrallah HA, Targum SD, Tandon R, McCombs JS, Ross R. Defining and measuring clinical effectiveness in the treatment of schizophrenia. Psychiatric Services. 2005 Mar;56(3):273-82.
- Vyas TK, Babbar AK, Sharma RK, Singh S, Misra A. Intranasal mucoadhesive microemulsions of clonazepam: preliminary studies on brain targeting. Journal of pharmaceutical sciences. 2006 Mar 1;95(3):570-80.
- Li L, Nandi I, Kim KH. Development of an ethyl laurate-based microemulsion for rapid-onset intranasal delivery of diazepam. International journal of pharmaceutics. 2002 Apr 26;237(1-2):77-85.
- Kaur P, Kim K. Pharmacokinetics and brain uptake of diazepam after intravenous and intranasal administration in rats and rabbits. International journal of pharmaceutics. 2008 Nov 19; 364(1):27-35.
- 11. Jogani VV, Shah PJ, Mishra P, Mishra AK, Misra AR. Intranasal mucoadhesive microemulsion of tacrine to improve brain

targeting. Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders. 2008 Apr 1;22(2):116-24.

- Porecha S, Shah T, Jogani V, Naik S, Misra A. Microemulsion based intranasal delivery system for treatment of insomnia. Drug delivery. 2009 Apr 1;16(3):128-34.
- Shah BM, Misra M, Shishoo CJ, Padh H. Nose to brain microemulsion-based drug delivery system of rivastigmine: formulation and ex-vivo characterization. Drug delivery. 2015 Oct 3;22(7):918-30.
- 14. Hu L, Wu H, Niu F, Yan C, Yang X, Jia Y. Design of fenofibrate microemulsion for improved bioavailability. International journal of pharmaceutics. 2011 Nov 28;420(2):251-5.
- 15. Chandra A, Sharma PK, Irchhiaya R. Microemulsion-based hydrogel formulation for transdermal delivery of dexamethasone. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics (AJP): Free full text articles from Asian J Pharm. 2014 Aug 28;3(1).
- Anjali Devi N, Krishnaveni J. Development and Ex-Vivo evaluation of atorvastatin microemulsions for transdermal delivery using Box-Behnken Design. International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences, 2018,8 (2): 81-93.
- Kawtikwar PS, Kulkarni NP, Yadav S, Sakarkar DM. Formulation and evaluation of an anti-epileptic drug-loaded microemulsion for nose to brain delivery. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics (AJP): Free full text articles from Asian J Pharm. 2014 Aug 28;3(2).
- Hintzen F, Perera G, Hauptstein S, Müller C, Laffleur F, Bernkop-Schnürch A. In vivo evaluation of an oral selfmicroemulsifying drug delivery system (SMEDDS) for leuprorelin. International journal of pharmaceutics. 2014 Sep 10;472(1-2):20-6.
- Anilgoud K, Krishnaveni J and. "Development and Ex vivo evaluation of Rasagiline Mesylate mucoadhesive microemulsion for intranasal delivery using Box-Behnken design." International Journal of Bio-Pharma Research 2019 8(3): 2514-2522.