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ABSTRACT

Background: Potentially inappropriate medication (PIM) use includes the use of drugs with higher risk where adverse drug effect outweighs the clinical benefit, especially when more effective alternative therapy is available.

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess prevalence and risk factors associated with use of PIMs in elderly patients at medical ward of Ayder Referral Hospital (ARH), Tigray region, Northern Ethiopia.

Methods: A facility based prospective cross sectional study was conducted among 140 elderly patients from February 6, 2014 to May 05, 2014 in ARH. Patients with age ≥ 60 years admitted to medical ward during the study period were randomly selected. Data were collected with a structured questionnaire and analyzed using statistical package for social science (SPSS, IBM Corporation) version 20. Binary logistic regression was used to analyze factors associated with occurrence of PIM.

Results: Of 140 patients, 52.1% were males and forty (28.6%) of patients received at least one potentially inappropriate medication. The most commonly used potential inappropriate medications were metoclopramide (25.58%), nifedipine (16.28%), diazepam (13.95%) and meperidine (13.95%). Above half of (58%) patients encountered polypharmacy. Thirty eight percent of the participants' had comorbid conditions. In the binary logistic analysis, patients with polypharmacy were more likely to receive PIMs [AOR 4.163; 95% CI 1.75–9.92, p=0.001].

Conclusions: Prevalence of PIMs in elderly patients admitted to ARH was high. Polypharmacy was identified as the independent predictor of the uses of PIMs. Clinical pharmacists’ are in a position to alert and monitor the exposure to PIMs as part of multidisciplinary team.
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INTRODUCTION

Ageing is a biological process broadly beyond human control and often has its own dynamic. Increasing age usually associated with changes in body composition and physiology, may result in the change of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of administered drugs \(^1,2\). The adulthood of 60 or 65, almost correlative to retirement ages in most western nations is said to be the starting of old age \(^3\). Alongside age-related steady changes, the rates for perpetual infections and comorbidity increments are trailed by constant medication treatment. On the other hand, drug treatment in the elders is substantially more difficult and complex than in younger adults, particularly because of the comorbidity and the expanding number of medications for the treatment of diverse conditions \(^1,4,5\).

Preventable medication related problems are common and costly in elders and may lead to poor outcomes. PIM use includes the use of drugs with higher risk where adverse drug effect outweighs the clinical benefit, especially when more effective alternative therapy is available \(^5,6\). PIMs have been reported as an important cause of iatrogenic morbidity, mortality and increased healthcare costs \(^7,8\). General medication related problems among patients with at least one PIM prescription were significantly higher than non PIM group with 14.3% and 4.7% respectively \(^9\). As per Hedna et al., PIMs were considered as the cause of 60% of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) influencing vascular, half of ADRs affecting the nervous system and 62.5% of ADRs bringing about falls \(^9\). However, the use of PIM is common; different studies showed with range between 34.5-82.6% of ageing patients were received at least one medication with risk outweighs the benefit\(^10,12\).

Avoiding the use of inappropriate and high-risk drugs may be an important, simple, and effective strategy in reducing medication-related problems and adverse drug events in older adults. Beer and colleagues developed and published explicit list of PIMs for nursing home residents in 1991 subsequently expanded and revised in 1997, 2003 then 2012 to include all settings of geriatric care \(^6,13-15\) which is important for recognizing and avoiding medication with higher risks in seniors to improve quality care. However, studies with respect to the use of PIMs in Ethiopia are uncommon.

Therefore, the main aim of this study was to identify the prevalence and most commonly used PIMs which will be used as a base line data for health service providers for promoting rational use of drugs. Furthermore, the purpose includes identifying risk factors associated with PIMs in elderly patients at medical ward of ARH, Tigray region, Northern Ethiopia. Understanding risk factors make intervention easy for care givers to targeting factors to improve quality of patients care in older adults.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study area and Period

The study was conducted from February 6, 2014 to May 05, 2014 in ARH located in Mekelle, capital city of Tigray Region, Northern Ethiopia. ARH is a teaching Hospital of Mekelle University and the largest Referral Hospital in Tigray Regional State which is located 783km North of Addis Ababa, capital of Ethiopia. ARH commenced rendering its referral and non-referral services to the 8 million populations in areas of the Tigray, Afar and Southeastern parts of the Amhara Regional States. It provides a broad range of medical services to both in and out patients of all age groups.

Study Design and Study Population

A facility based prospective cross sectional study was conducted. To be eligible to participate in the study, older adults were required age greater than or equal to 60 years, admitted to the medical ward, competent to give informed consent, at least talking one medication and not critically ill.

Sample size determination

The sample size required for the study was determined using the formula for estimation of single proportion, based on the PIMs proportions of 27% \(^16\), 5% margin of error at 95% confidence level. Total of 322 elderly patients were admitted to ARH during the study period and finally adjusting for finite population correction a sample of 157 patients was taken. Study participants were selected employing simple random sampling techniques. List of admitted elderly patient at a particular time was considered as sampling frame. Sampling interval was obtained by dividing the number of elderly patients admitted during the study period divided by the sample size which gives 2. The first patient was selected randomly and every other patient was included in the study.

Data collection methods and tools

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and the questionnaire included two parts. The first part contained questions about the socio-demographic characteristics of the patients and diagnosis. The second part contained questions related to drug regimens prescribed including name, dosages, frequency and duration of administration. The questionnaire was pretested in 10% of sample size in out of study area in Mekelle General Hospital. It was developed in English language then translated into local language and data were collected by trained graduating class pharmacy students.

Operational definitions

PIMs refers to any medication listed in updated 2012 Beers criteria to be avoided in elderly patients \(^15\).

Polypharmacy refers as the use of multiple medications generally referred to five or more prescribed drugs at a time \(^17\).

2012 Updated Beer’s Criteria refers to explicit list of medications prepared by American Geriatric Society to be avoided in older adults in 2012 because risk outweighs benefits \(^15\).
Data analysis and management

First of all, questionnaires were checked for data completeness. After that, code was given to each questionnaire then data were entered to statistical package for social science (SPSS, IBM Corporation) version 20 for analysis. Finally, the descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation) were used to examine the normality of the data and describe the analysis. Furthermore, logistic regression with 95% confidence interval was done to determine risk factors associated with PIMs and P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical clearance

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Review Board of the College of Health Sciences, Mekelle University (ERC 0362/2014). Study participants briefed about the objective of the study with the local language (Tigrigna) version and informed consent were obtained. The confidentiality and right to refuse participation of the study participants were maintained.

RESULTS

Total of 157 elderly patients approached 140 patients were agreed to participate in the study with response rate of 89.2%. The mean age was 68.39 ± 7.26 years and male patients represented 52.1% of the study population. Patients stayed in the hospital with a mean of 12.51 ± 8.99 days while nearly one third (32.9%) of the participants had two comorbidities. The sum of the number of medication at a time per patient was 814 drugs, giving an average of 5.81 ± 3.94. Fifty-nine (40%) patients had less than five drugs whereas twenty-five (17.9%) patients had nine drugs or more prescribed for them (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants in internal medicine ARH, Tigray region, Northern Ethiopia (N=140)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency (N)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>68.39 ± 7.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay in days (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>12.51 ± 8.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed drugs per patient (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>5.81 ± 2.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, Eighty six (61.4 %) patients had at least one cardiovascular disease (CVD) or renal disease. Heart failure was the common CVD accounting for 22.8 %, followed by hypertension 20% and 6.4% had both. Whereas infectious disease was proved in 58.6 % patients, Pneumonia accounted for 32 %, followed by Tuberculosis (15 %), urinary tract infection (5.7%) and others. The third-ranked class hematological disorder diagnosed in 25% of participants, of them anemia diagnosed in 13.6 %, pancytopenia in 5% and deep venous thrombosis in 3.6 %. Moreover, endocrine disorder prevalence was 15% with commonest of diabetic mellitus observed in13.6% of study participants.

Reviewing of the prescribed medications using the 2012 Updated Beer’s Criteria indicated that 40 patients received at least one PIM, giving a prevalence rate of 28.6 %. Forty three PIMs were prescribed to 40 patients during this study period. Furthermore, three of patients each got 2 PIMs during their stay. The most commonly prescribed drug was metoclopramide identified PIMs in 11(25.58% of all PIM) cases followed by nifedipine seven (16.28%) cases while diazepam and meperidine were implicated in six (14%) cases each (Table 2).

Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to determine risk factors associated with PIMs. Polypharmacy was significantly associated with PIMs i.e. patients on polypharmacy were four times more likely to have PIMs [AOR, 4.163: 95% CI 1.75 - 9.92 p=0.001] compared to patients who took less than five medications per day. However, age, sex and comorbidity had no significant association with prescribing of PIMs (Table 3).
DISCUSSION
The aim of this study was to estimate prevalence of PIM in elderly patients in the ARH which is one of the tertiary care settings in the country. We found that more than one fourth (28.6%) of the study participants had encountered at least one PIM during their hospital stay. A systematic review showed that the prevalence of PIM using Beer’s criteria range from 11.5% to 62.5% where our finding was within this range. Furthermore, the result of present study was almost similar to the previously conducted study on 1252 patients in North Western Ethiopia with a prevalence of 27.7% of PIM. Moreover, this finding was comparable with studies done on older patients admitted to six European hospitals with overall PIMs prevalence of 30.4% using 2012 Updated Beer’s Criteria, varying from 22.7% in Prague to 43.3% in Geneva. In contrary, some studies revealed higher prevalence rate of 49%, 53.6%, and 62.4% of PIM in USA, France and Croatia respectively. The difference in the prevalence of PIMs reported in various studies may be due to the differences in patient and disease characteristics, prescribing patterns, number attending physician and screening criteria.

The average number of drugs prescribed per patient in this study (5.81) was higher than results from other general prescription studies done in Nigeria (3.8), Turkey (2.9), India (4.3) and Brazil (4.4). In contrary, this finding was lower than studies carried out among geriatric patients in USA an average of 8.1 drugs per prescription. This significant difference in the number of prescribed drugs for patients in our study when compared to developing countries (e.g., Nigeria) is the matter of outpatient set up, where participants involved. To the opposite developed countries (e.g. USA) could be attributed a functional health insurance policy for the elderly which may give more access to medications. A study done in Indonesia revealed that 24% of geriatric institutionalized patients received more than five drugs per day during the hospital stay, which has exaggerated gap to our study (47.14%). This is partly due to infectious comorbidity observed besides aging related deterioration.

Table 2: Prescribed drugs in elderlies that should be avoided based on Beer’s criteria in ARH, Northern Ethiopia, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug name</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent (n/T)</th>
<th>Potential risk*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metoclopramide</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td>Can cause extrapyramidal effects including tardive dyskinesia; risk may be even greater in frail older adult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine (immediate release)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.28</td>
<td>Potential for hypotension; risk of precipitating myocardial ischemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>Older adults have increased sensitivity to benzodiazepines and slower metabolism of long-acting agents. Increase risk of cognitive impairment, delirium, falls, fractures, and motor vehicle accidents in older adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meperidine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>Not an effective oral analgesic in dosages commonly used; may cause neurotoxicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone &gt; 25 mg/d for CHF, with KCl and ACEI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>The risk of hyperkalemia is higher in older adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding scale insulin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>Higher risk of hypoglycemia without improvement in hyperglycemia management regardless of care setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>Increases risk of GI bleeding and PUD in high-risk groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>Highly anticholinergic, sedating, and cause orthostatic hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin &gt; 0.125 mg/d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>no additional benefit and may increase risk of toxicity; slow renal clearance may lead to risk of toxic effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rationale to avoid in older adults regardless of diseases or conditions, Beers 2012 criteria

Table 3: Factors associated with potentially inappropriate prescribing in ARH, northern Ethiopia, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>PIM</th>
<th>Significance (p value)</th>
<th>Adjust Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI for EXP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>1.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypharmacy</td>
<td>≤ 5 drugs</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 5 drugs</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our finding indicated that more than half of the study participants (81.579.8%) used five or more medications at times, which is an important factor that was associated with PIMs. According to Weng et al., there were strong associations between higher PIMs with increasing number of medications31. Furthermore, a study done in China showed that an increased number of drugs used was identified as an independent factor associated with PIM 32. In contrary to this study finding some studies showed additional factors associated with PIM such as age, sex and hospitalization 20,33-36. A meta-analysis done on determinant factors for the use of PIM for the elderly pointed out, there were high degree of heterogeneity among the studies and only polypharmacy presented a positive association 37. This difference among studies may be due to selection, stratification of the sample and criteria for determining of PIM.

Four commonly reported inappropriate medications which accounts more than two third (69.76%) of all encounters where metoclopramide, Nifedipine, diazepam and meperidine. Our finding was comparable with previous studies done in Ethiopia 16,38. According to Jhaveri et al., the most common inappropriate medications were metoclopramide, alprazolam, diazepam, digoxin, and diclofenac 39. Moreover, some studies showed that almost same group of drugs especially benzodiazepines, NSAIDs and antihistamines associated with PIM 20,40,41. In this study, metoclopramide was the most commonly prescribed and accounts 25.58% of all PIM which is relatively higher. This high rate of prescription may be due to lack of up dated knowledge among physicians regarding metoclopramide inclusion in new 2012 Updated Beer's Criteria. Its use is largely considered inappropriate because of the possibility of extrapyramidal adverse reactions 12.

The limitation of this study is cross-sectional outline does not permit building up the transience of the connection factors. It also does not connect adverse reaction reactions outcome due to PIMs use. However, one of the strength this study provided was information about the most common PIMs. In contrast to previously study done in Ethiopia it identifies the factors associated with PIMs which helps to overcome the problem.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, nearly one third elderly patients were exposed to PIMs during the study period and metoclopramide was the most commonly prescribed medications. Polypharmacy was identified as the independent predicator of the uses of PIMs. Clinical pharmacists’ are in a position to alert and monitor the exposure to PIMs as part of multidisciplinary team.
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